

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/081,036	02/22/2002	Wesley Eugene Kendall	8510			
7590 11/14/2003			EXAMINER			
Wesley Eugene Kendall			MOONEYHAM, JANICE A			
106 Pine Needle Lane Altamonte Springs, FL 32714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
randing open			3629			
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2003	3		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					_	1	1				
Office Action Summary		Application No.			licant(s)		/_				
		10/081,036 KENDALL, WESLEY E			EY EUGE	NE					
		Examiner	<u> </u>		Art Unit						
			eyham		3629						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply											
	• •	/ IQ QET T/	O EVDIDE	2 MONTH/S	) EDOM						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status											
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 F	ebruary 20	<u> 002</u> .								
2a)[	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is	non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims											
·											
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.	un from oo	noidoration								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	WII IIOIII COI	nsideration	l <b>.</b>							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.											
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.										
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.											
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election re	equirement	<b>i.</b>							
*	The specification is objected to by the Examine			_							
10)🖾 ¯	The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 February 2002</u> is/are	·		•							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the										
11)[	The proposed drawing correction filed on			∐ disapprov	ed by the Examin	er.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.											
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	amıner.									
_	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority un	der 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).						
a)L	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:										
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.											
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No											
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>											
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).											
	) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		-								
Attachment	_	-									
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·		ce of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT						

Art Unit: 3629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This is in response to the applicant's communication filed on February 22, 2002, April 12, 2002, April 15, 2002, June 10, 2002, November 4, 2002 and March 3, 2003. Claims 1-5 are currently pending in this application.
- 2. The disclosure is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71. The Examiner has examined the application as best the Examiner understands the invention in light of the disclosure.

Applicant is required to submit an amendment clarifying the disclosure.

Applicant should be careful not to introduce any new matter into the disclosure (i.e., matter which is not supported by the disclosure as originally filed).

3. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

# Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables

Art Unit: 3629

having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a).

"Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

## Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data shet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.
- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development</u>: See MPEP § 310.
- (d) Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc: The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/081,036

Art Unit: 3629

(e) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:

- (1) <u>Field of the Invention</u>: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
- (2) Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37

  CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."
- (f) <u>Brief Summary of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.
- (g) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.
- (i) <u>Claim or Claims</u>: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet or electronic page (37 CFR 1.52(b)(3)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/081,036

Art Unit: 3629

claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).

- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).
- (k) <u>Sequence Listing</u>, See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.

### Abstract:

4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not a concise and clear statement of the technical disclosure of the patent. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;

Art Unit: 3629

(4) if a mixture, its ingredients;

(5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

# 5. Spacing:

The spacing of the lines of the specification is such as to make reading and entry of amendments difficult. New application papers with lines double spaced on good quality paper are required.

### 6. New matter:

The applicant has filed many versions of the specification and the drawings. Any amendment that was filed that introduces new matter into the disclosure is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The examiner is not sure which of the drawings that the applicant is claiming as his original disclosure..

Art Unit: 3629

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

# **Drawings**

- 7. New corrected drawings are required in this application. See the Notice of Draftsperson's Paten Drawing Review attached. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 8. An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

Applicant is advised of the availability of the publication "Attorneys and Agents

Registered to Practice Before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office." This publication is for sale
by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.

20402.

Page 7

Art Unit: 3629

## Claim Objections

9. Claims 1-5 are objected to because of the following informalities: The claims are not written in proper format. Applicant should refer to MPEP Section 608.01(m). Appropriate correction is required.

### **MPEP 608.01(m)** states:

The claim or claims must commence on a separate sheet and should appear after the detailed description of the invention. While there is no set statutory form for claims, the present Office practice is to insist that each claim must be the object of a sentence starting with "I (or we) claim," "The invention claimed is" (or the equivalent). If, at the time of allowance, the quoted terminology is not present, it is inserted by the Technology Center (TC) technical support staff. Each claim begins with a capital letter and ends with a period. Periods may not be used elsewhere in the claims except for abbreviations. See Fressola v. Manbeck, 36 USPQ2d 1211 (D.D.C. 1995). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation, 37 CFR 1.75(i).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

10. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3629

11. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The examiner is unclear as whether the applicant is claiming a method or apparatus and what method or apparatus the applicant is claiming.

Page 9

- 12. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements or essential method steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements or the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The applicant has failed to provide a detailed description of the invention in that applicant has failed to indicate what the essential elements or steps are in the invention.
- paragraph indefiniteness rejections, it is difficult if not impossible to completely construe claim scope at this time. However, in accordance with MPEP §2173.06 and the USPTO's policy of providing art rejections even though the claim(s) contain 35 U.S.C. 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejections, the claims are construed and the art is applied as much as practically possible. As noted below, Applicant(s) are invited to contact the Examiner if additional assistance is needed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Art Unit: 3629

14. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is

directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of:

(1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and

(2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process claim to pass muster, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

In the present case, claims 1-5 only recites an abstract idea. The recited steps of identifying, collecting information, and linking to reservations do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts since all of the recited steps can be performed in the mind of the user or by use of a pencil and paper. These steps only constitute abstract ideas.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3629

15. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lane (US Patent 5,623,552).

Lane discloses a method and apparatus for identification (col. 2, lines 11-13), with information on the card (col. 2, lines 11-15), which captures fingerprints (col. 2, lines 16-43), with links to .credit databases (col. 5, line 62 thru col. 6, line 12).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

16. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wu (US 2003/0158762).

Wu discloses an identification system and method which comprises a smart card that uses biometric information for airline passenger security linked to FBI and CIA computer systems (page 1 {0008, 0010, 0011, 0012, 0013}.

17. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Seifert (US 2003/0112120).

Art Unit: 3629

Seifert discloses an biometric identification system and method [page 2 [0014-17] on a card [0018] for use in security linked to credit cards and the government (page 4 [0028].

18. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cockerham (US 2003/0128099).

Cockerham discloses an electronic read pass card with biometric information (Fig. 4) connected to law enforcement databases (Fig. 5) to provide security for airports.

19. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kelly et. al. (US 2003/0127511)

Kelly discloses a method and apparatus for providing heightened airport security which provides an information card (Fig. 5) with fingerprints (Fig. 5) which is adapted to communicate with government databases (page 1 [0007]).

20. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sehr (US Patent 6,386,451).

Sehr discloses a travel system and method which provides biometric identification of the cardholders and other travel related information which can be verified and validated and which provides information as to travel rights, service entitlement, and admission to transportation means (abstract, col. 3, lines 60-64, col. 4, line 30 thru col. 5, line 13)

Art Unit: 3629

Page 13

### Conclusion

21. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Sweatte (US 6,335,688) discloses a method and system for airport security where passengers must undergo positive identification by fingerprint scans.

Simon (US 2003/0086591) discloses an identity card and tracking system.

Monk et al. (US 2003/0099379) discloses a validation and verification apparatus and method using biometric data.

Barclay (US 6,158,658) discloses a system and method for matching passengers and their baggage.

Piosenka et al. (Us 4,993,068) discloses a personal identification system using fingerprints.

WO 9606409 discloses a method for providing an identification database which includes biometric information..

Fonseca, Brian, INFOWORLD. San Mateo, March 11, 2002: Airports ready for Biometrics

Indentix Fingerprint Biometric Technology Incorporated in Airport Security Solutions
Featured at Chicago Comdex

Art Unit: 3629

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jan Mooneyham whose telephone number is (703) 305-8554.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (703) 308-2702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

JAM

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

gredi

Page 14